

The current issue and full text archive of this journal is available at www.emeraldinsight.com/0264-4401.htm

# Numerical simulation and optimization on heat transfer and fluid flow in cooling channel of liquid rocket engine thrust chamber \_\_

Qiuwang Wang, Feng Wu, Min Zeng and Laiqin Luo State Key Laboratory of Multiphase Flow in Power Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, and

> Jiguo Sun Beijing Aviation Power Institute, China

#### Abstract

**Purpose** – To find the optimal number of channels of rocket engine thrust chamber, it was found that the optimal channel number is 335, at which the cooling effect of the thrust chamber cooling channel reaches the best, which can be helpful to design rocket engine thrust chamber.

**Design/methodology/approach** – The commercial computational fluid dynamics (CFD) software FLUENT with standard k- $\epsilon$  turbulent model was used. The CFD method was validated via comparing with the available experimental data.

**Findings** – It was found that both the highest temperature and the maximal heat flux through the wall on the hot-gas side occurs about the throat region at the symmetrical center of the cooling channel. Owing to the strong curvature of the cooling channel geometry, the secondary flow reached its strongest level around the throat region. The typical values of pressure drop and temperature difference between the inlet and exit of cooling channel were 2.7 MPa and 67.38 K (standard case), respectively. Besides an optimal number of channels exist, and it is approximately 335, which can make the effect of heat transfer of cooling channels best with acceptable pressure drop. As a whole, the present study gives some useful information to the thermal design of liquid rocket engine thrust chamber.

**Research limitations/implications** – More detailed computation and optimization should be performed for the fluid flow and heat transfer of cooling channel.

**Practical implications** – A very useful optimization on heat transfer and fluid flow in cooling channel of liquid rocket engine thrust chamber.

**Originality/value** – This paper provides the performance of optimization on heat transfer and fluid flow in cooling channel of liquid rocket engine thrust chamber, which can make the effect of heat transfer of cooling channels best with acceptable pressure drop. As a whole, the present study gives some useful information to the thermal design of liquid rocket engine thrust chamber.

Keywords Liquids, Rocket engines, Heat transfer, Gases, Optimization techniques

Paper type Research paper

#### Nomenclature

$A_1$ = Area of wall at hot-gas side, m <sup>2</sup> $A_2$ = area of the extrude part of r	m² ibs, m²
--	---------------

This work was supported by the NSFC Fund for Creative Research Groups (Grant No. 50521604) and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (Grant No. NCET-04-0938) of China.

Numerical simulation and optimization

907



Engineering Computations: International Journal for Computer-Aided Engineering and Software Vol. 23 No. 8, 2006 pp. 907-921 © Emerald Group Publishing Limited 0264-4401 DOI 10.1108/0264440061070773

EC	
23,8	

908

$A_{\rm t}$	= cross-sectional area of throat, m <sup>2</sup>	$T'_{\rm w}$	= wall temperature of gas-solid
$C^*$	= characteristic velocity, m s <sup><math>-1</math></sup>		coupled base surface, K
$C_{\rm p}$	= specific heat at constant pressure,	$T^*$	= stagnation temperature, K
	$kJ kg^{-1} K^{-1}$	$\bar{T}_{hyd}$	= channel average temperature of
$C_{\mu}$	= constant in turbulence model		hydrogen gas, K
$\dot{C_1}$	= constant in turbulence model	U	= velocity along x direction, m s <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
$C_2$	= constant in turbulence model	$u_{\rm avg}$	= mean flow velocity of inlet, m s <sup>-1</sup>
$D_{\rm t}$	= diameter at nozzle throat, m	v	= velocity along y direction, m s <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
h	= convective heat transfer	w	= velocity along z direction, m s <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
	coefficient, W m $^{-2}$	W	= width of cooling channels, m
$h_{\rm hvd}$	= convective heat transfer	$W_f$	= width of ribs in cooling channels, m
2	coefficient at gas-solid coupled	x	= x axis of Cartesian Coordinate
	interface, W m <sup>-2</sup> K	у	= y axis of Cartesian Coordinate
$h_{gas}$	= convective heat transfer coefficient	z	= z axis of Cartesian Coordinate
8	at hot-gas side wall, W m <sup>-2</sup> K		
Ι	= turbulence intensity, percent	Greek syn	nbols
k	= ratio of specific heats; Turbulent	$\sigma$	= dimensionless factor accounting
	kinetic energy, J m <sup>-3</sup>		for all corrections of property
l	= turbulent length scale, m		variation across boundary layer
'n	= mass flow rate, kg/s	$\sigma_{\kappa}$	= turbulent Prandtl numbers for $\kappa$
Ma	= Mach number $= v/a$	$\sigma_{\varepsilon}$	= turbulent Prandtl numbers for $\varepsilon$
$M_{\rm r}$	= relative molecular mass	$\sigma_T$	$=$ turbulent Prandtl numbers for $T_{c}$
п	= normal outwardly with respect to	ρ	= density of hydrogen gas, kg m <sup>-3</sup>
	solid wall	$\phi$	= general variable of conservation
$p_{\rm c}^*$	= chamber pressure, Pa		equations
Р	= pressure of hydrogen gas, MPa	$\eta$	= viscosity, kg m <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$P_{ m H_{2}O}$	= partial pressure of H <sub>2</sub> O	$\eta_{ m t}$	= turbulent viscosity, kg m <sup><math>-1</math></sup> s <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
$P_{\rm CO_2}$	$=$ partial pressure of $CO_2$	$\eta_{ m eff}$	= effective turbulent viscosity,
Pr	= Prandtl number = $\nu/\alpha$		${ m kg}{ m m}^{-1}{ m s}^{-1}(=\eta+\eta_{ m t})$
$q_{\rm r}$	= radiative heat flux, W m <sup>-2</sup>	$\eta_{ m hyd}$	= rib efficiency at hydrogen gas side
$q_{ m w}$	= wall heat flux, W m <sup>-2</sup>	З	= dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic
q <sub>w,highest</sub>	= highest wall heat flux at hot gas		energy, $m^2 s^{-3}$
	side, $W m^{-2}$	$\varepsilon_{ m g}$	= emissivity of hot gas
S	= source terms	$\epsilon_{ m H_2O}$	= emissivity of water vapour
Τ	= temperature, K	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{CO}_2}$	= emissivity of carbon dioxide
$T_{\text{exit}}$	= Outlet temperature of hydrogen	δ	= thickness of wall, m
	gas, K	$\delta T$	= local temperature difference
$T_{\rm gas}$	= hot gas static temperature, K		between gas-solid coupled
T <sub>hyd</sub>	= temperature of hydrogen gas, K		interface and hydrogen gas, K
$T_{\rm in}$	= inlet temperature of hydrogen gas,	λ	= thermal conductivity of hydrogen
	K		gas, W $K^{-1} m^{-1}$
$T_{\rm w}$	= wall temperature at gas side, K	$\Gamma_{\phi}$	= diffuse coefficient
$T_{\rm w,highest}$	= highest wall temperature at hot gas	$\Delta T$	= inlet-outlet temperature difference
	side, K		for hydrogen gas, K

# 1. Introduction

The modern liquid rocket engine thrust chambers are exposed to high pressure and high temperature environments. The flow in the thrust chamber is turbulent and supersonic. In the  $H_2/O_2$  liquid rocket engine, the flow and heat transfer of hydrogen gas in the cooling channel may be characterized as weakly compressible,

high heat transfer rates, high Reynolds number turbulent flow and strong curvature effects in the cooling channel. Reducing wall temperature at hot-gas side wall by 50-100°C could result in the doubling of the chamber life cycle, which is very important to the industry of spaceflight due to the expensive cost of manufacturing. Therefore, how to enhance the cooling rate of thrust chamber is very important to the rocket engine.

Frohlich et al. (1991) and Lebail and Popp (1993) calculated the thermal performance of cooling channel, and owing to the limit of computer resource, only a parabolic marching numerical procedure was employed to calculate the flow and heat transfer in the rectangular cooling channels without re-circulation along the cooling channel. An integrated numerical models which incorporated computational fluid dynamics (CFD) for the hot-gas thermal environment, and thermal analysis for the liner and coolant channels, was developed by Wang and Luong (1992). Lai et al. (1994) proposed a concurrent processing approach for the coupling of multi-disciplinary analysis codes. Schmidt et al. (1998) has shown that the most efficient and straightforward design measure for increasing heat transfer of rocket chamber is decreasing of the hot gas wall surface temperature in the thrust chamber. Three concepts for enhancing heat transfer to the coolant have been selected and investigated experimentally by comprehensive subscale chamber hot-fire tests (Immich et al., 2003): increasing the length of chamber cylinder, increasing the number of hot gas wall side ribs and artificially increasing the surface roughness. Heat transfer simulation and analysis of single geometrical parameter of liquid rocket cooling channel have been fulfilled, and the enhanced heat transfer by ribs has been reported in Immich et al. (1999, 2000). Li and Liu (2004) gained the temperature field in solid region of cooling channel using two-dimensional heat conduction model. Bucchi and Bruno (2005) investigated the heat transfer in the transpiration cooling performance in lox/methane liquid-fuel rocket engines, in which the real gas property was adopted. Wang and Luong (2004, 1994) studied on the cooling mechanism in liquid rocket engine. They concentrated on the methods of regenerative cooling and curtain cooling. They studied the hot-gas-side and coolant-side heat transfer in liquid rocket engine combustors using the holistic coupled model. In the liquid film cooling aspect, some experimental and analytical results have been reported in Kinney and Dukler (1952), Knuth (1953), William (1991) and Yan *et al.* (1991), but the details of transport process in the boundary layer have not been taken into account. Zhang et al. (2006) numerically studied the phenomena that characterize the exchange of heat and mass transfer between a hot gas stream and a thin liquid film in the two-dimensional model, in which the effects of gaseous radiation, external cooling and high temperature and high pressure of gases were all taken into account.

Three-dimensional analysis of heat transfer and fluid flow in a cooling channel of liquid rocket engine thrust chamber using gas-solid coupled technique was reported in Wu and Wang (2003) and Wu *et al.* (2005a, b). The computational results agreed well with the corresponding experimental results. To the authors' knowledge, the optimized calculation and analysis about cooling channel have not been reported. In this paper, the gas-solid coupled technique is adopted for seeking the optimal number of cooling channels for a liquid rocket engine thrust chamber. Figure 1 shows the thrust chamber of liquid rocket engine, for which totally 300 channels are used for cooling, and the total

Numerical simulation and optimization

909



mass flow-rate for 300 channels are 18.0 kg/s. The cooling channel is made up of copper, nickel shell and steel. The coolant is hydrogen gas with typical inlet temperature of 40 K and pressure of 15.2 MPa.

## 2. Mathematical description and numerical methods

### 2.1 Mathematical description

Figure 2 shows the cross-section of one cooling channel. As shown in the figure, the calculation may be carried out only for one-half of the channel because of the geometrical symmetry.





In the present work, the fluid flow and heat transfer in the cooling channel was assumed to be three-dimensional, steady-state and turbulent. The coolant (working fluid) is hydrogen gas. The conservation equations of fluid flow and heat transfer are expressed as (Tao, 2000):

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho \dot{V}\phi) = \nabla \cdot (\Gamma_{\phi} \nabla \phi) + S_{\phi} \tag{1}$$

where the expressions of  $\phi$ ,  $\Gamma_{\phi}$  and  $S_{\phi}$  for different variables can be found in Table I.

The standard  $k \cdot \varepsilon$  two-equation turbulence model is employed to simulate the turbulent channel flow. Table II shows the corresponding values of constants in the turbulence model.

The convective heat transfer coefficient for the hot-gas side was calculated by the Bartz (1957) formula:

$$h_{\rm gas} = \frac{0.026}{d^{0.2}} \left(\frac{\eta^{0.2} C_{\rm p}}{P r^{0.6}}\right) \left(\frac{p_{\rm c}^*}{C^*}\right)^{0.8} \left(\frac{A_{\rm t}}{A}\right)^{0.9} \sigma \tag{2}$$

The dimensionless factor,  $\sigma$  accounting for all corrections of property variation across boundary layer, was calculated by:

$$\sigma = \left[0.5\frac{T_{\rm w}}{T^*} \left(1 + \frac{k-1}{2}Ma^2\right) + 0.5\right]^{-0.68} \left(1 + \frac{k-1}{2}Ma^2\right)^{-0.12}$$
(3)

Prandtl number and viscosity  $\eta$  was approximately calculated by:

$$Pr \approx \frac{4k}{(9k-5)} \tag{4}$$

$$\eta \approx 1.184 \times 10^{-7} M_{\rm r}^{0.5} T^{0.6} \tag{5}$$

Equations	$\phi$	$\Gamma_{\phi}$	$S_{oldsymbol{\phi}}$
Continuity equation	1	0	0
u equation	U	$\eta_{ m eff}$	$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\eta_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\eta_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\eta_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)$
v equation	v	$\eta_{ m eff}$	$-rac{\partial p}{\partial y}+rac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{eff}}rac{\partial u}{\partial y} ight)+rac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{eff}}rac{\partial v}{\partial y} ight)+rac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{eff}}rac{\partial w}{\partial y} ight)$
<i>w equation</i> Energy equation	w T	$\eta_{\mathrm{eff}} \ \eta/Pr + \eta/\sigma_T$	$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \eta_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \eta_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \eta_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)$
k equation	k	$\eta + (\eta_{\rm t}/\sigma_k)$	$ ho G_k -  ho arepsilon$
$\varepsilon$ equation	з	$\eta + (\eta_{ m t}/\sigma_{arepsilon})$	$\frac{\varepsilon}{k}(C_1 ho G_k-C_2 ho \varepsilon)$

Note:  $G_k = (\eta_t/\rho)[(\partial u/\partial x)^2 + (\partial v/\partial y)^2 + (\partial w/\partial z)^2] + ((\partial u/\partial y) + (\partial v/\partial x))^2 + ((\partial u/\partial z) + (\partial w/\partial x))^2$  Expressions of  $\phi$ ,  $\Gamma_{\phi}$  and  $S_{\phi}$  for different variables

$C_{\mu}$	$C_1$	$C_2$	$\sigma_k$	$\sigma_{\varepsilon}$	$\sigma_T$	Table II.
0.09	1.44	1.92	1.0	1.3	0.85	turbulence model

911

Table I.

Numerical

simulation and

optimization

EC 23,8

912

The radiation heat transfer of hot-gas side was calculated by:

$$q_{\rm r} = \varepsilon_{\rm g} \sigma \left( T_{\rm gas}^4 - T_{\rm w}^4 \right) \tag{6}$$

where  $T_{\text{gas}}$  is the temperature of hot gas,  $T_{\text{w}}$  is the wall temperature of hot-gas side,  $\varepsilon_{\text{g}}$  is the effective emissivity of hot gas.

#### 2.2 Numerical methods and boundary conditions

The numerical simulation work was carried out by the commercial software FLUENT and the grid system was built in GAMBIT. The numerical method for solving the governing equations was based on the conservation finite-volume method. The *Re* number of computational rocket engine cooling channel reaches  $7.6 \times 10^5$ . In references Takase (1996) and Choi and Anand (1995), the standard *k*- $\varepsilon$  two-equation model has been used to simulate turbulent heat transfer with high Reynolds (*Re* = 300-60,000) number in a limited channel, and the numerical results agreed well with the experimental data. Thus, in this paper the standard *k*- $\varepsilon$  two-equation turbulence model was also employed. The SIMPLER algorithm was used to solve the pressure-velocity coupled equations. The QUICK scheme was used for convection terms in the governing equations. Standard wall function method was adopted for the near-wall treatment. The first grid node away from the wall was placed at the non-dimensional distance  $y_p^+ = 30 \sim 300$ . The total number of grid points in the calculation domain was  $300(x) \times 40(y) \times 60(z)$ , which was fine enough to obtain grid-independent results.

The boundary conditions of computational domain are described as shown in Figure 2.

At inlet:

fluid region : 
$$\dot{m} = 0.03 \text{ kg/s}, T = 40 \text{ K}, P = 15.2 \text{ MPa} (typical case)$$
 (7a)

$$k = 1.5(u_{\rm avg}I)^2, \ \varepsilon = C_{\mu}^{3/4} \frac{k^{3/2}}{l}$$
 (7b)

solid region : 
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0, \quad u = v = w = 0$$
 (7c)

At outlet:

fluid region: 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x} = 0$$
 (7d)

solid region : 
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0$$
,  $u = v = w = k = \varepsilon = 0$  (7e)

boundary 
$$ab: \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = 0, \ u = v = w = k = \varepsilon = 0$$
 (7f)

Boundary bc:

fluid region: 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial k}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial y} = 0, \quad v = 0$$
 (7g)

solid region : 
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0$$
,  $u = v = w = k = \varepsilon = 0$  (7h) Numerical simulation and optimization

Boundary 
$$ad: \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0, \ u = 0, v = w = k = \varepsilon = 0$$
 (7i)

Boundary 
$$cd: (h_{\text{gas}} + h_{\text{r}})(T_{\text{w}} - T_{\text{gas}}) = -\lambda \left(\frac{cT}{\partial n}\right)_{\text{wall}}.$$
 (7j) 913

Equation (7j) is a heat balance at the boundary between hot gas and solid wall,  $\lambda$  is the thermal conductivity of solid wall, n is an outward normal coordinate and  $(\partial T/\partial n)_{\text{wall}}$  is temperature gradient indicating solid wall normal to the outward.  $h_{\text{gas}}$  is the convective heat transfer coefficient, determined by Bartz formula (equation (2)), and  $h_{\text{r}}$  is the radiative heat transfer coefficient, determined by:

$$h_{\rm r} = \frac{q_{\rm r}}{T_{\rm w} - T_{\rm gas}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm g} \sigma \left(T_{\rm w}^4 - T_{\rm gas}^4\right)}{T_{\rm w} - T_{\rm gas}} \tag{7k}$$

Hot gas emissivity  $\varepsilon_{\rm g}$  is calculated by the equation  $\varepsilon_{\rm g} = \varepsilon_{\rm H_2O} + \varepsilon_{\rm CO_2} - \varepsilon_{\rm H_2O} \cdot \varepsilon_{\rm CO_2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\rm H_2O}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\rm CO_2}$  are determinated by partial pressure  $p_{\rm H_2O}$ ,  $p_{\rm CO_2}$  and hot gas static temperature  $T_{\rm g}$ , which can be found in Feng and Zhang (1991). The gas emissivity has little influence on the heat transfer in rocket engine thrust chamber when it varies within small range. According to the conditions of this study, the emissivity  $\varepsilon_{\rm g}$  is about 0.45.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Validation of numerical model

The standard  $k \sim \varepsilon$  turbulence model and large eddy simulation (LES) were used to simulate temperature and velocity distribution in fluid and solid region of the regenerative-cooling channel in order to test and validate the calculation method and model (Wu *et al.*, 2005a). The Smagorinsky-Lilly subgrid scale model was used, which is the most basic of subgrid-scale models proposed by Smagorinsky and further developed by Smagorinsky (1963), Lilly (1966) and Yuu *et al.* (2001). The simulation results of the two turbulence models are compared with the experimental data at different calculation grids (Table III). It is shown that under the same grids, the results obtained by standard  $k \sim \varepsilon$  turbulence model agreed better with the experimental data than those by LES. The deviations of inlet-outlet temperature difference and inlet-outlet pressure drop were 4.3 and 12.5 percent between numerical results by  $k \sim \varepsilon$ model and experimental data, respectively. With the increase of calculation grids, the calculation precision of LES was gradually enhanced. In this paper, the standard  $k \sim \varepsilon$ turbulence model was adopted to simulate and optimize the heat transfer and flow of liquid rocket engine chamber.

#### 3.2 Numerical analysis of a standard case

The above procedure was first applied to predict the turbulent flow and heat transfer in a typical cooling channel of a rocket engine thrust chamber (Figure 1). In the present calculation, the roughness of copper surface was assumed to be  $6.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ , while it was  $50 \,\mu\text{m}$  for nickel surface. The cross-section average temperature and heat flux

FC		1
EC 23,8	tlet of hydrogen 300 × 30 × 4f	0.138 0.352
914	stween inlet and ou gas (MPa) 300 × 20 × 40	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.35 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$
	Pressure drop be 285 × 12 × 30	0.06 0.35
	let and outlet of 300 × 30 × 45	102.2 106.5
	ference between in hydrogen gas (K) 300 × 20 × 40	97.7 106.3 110
	Temperature dif 285 × 12 × 30	96.5 106.3
Table III.         Comparisons of results         among experimental         data, $k \sim \varepsilon$ model and         LES model under         different grids	Turbulence models/experimental data	LES <i>k-s</i> Experimental data

distributions in the wall on the hot gas side along the flow direction are shown in Figure 3, which indicates that the highest wall temperature (545.9 K) on the hot gas side occurred at the throat region (x = 0.38 m). The melting point temperature of copper is 900 K (Feng and Zhang, 1991), so it indicates that the copper was within the safe range. On the other hand, the maximal heat flux ( $6.34 \times 10^7$  W/m<sup>2</sup>) imposed to the wall on the hot gas side also occurred at the throat region. The pressure drop and temperature difference between the inlet and the exit of the cooling channel are 2.7 MPa and 67.38 K, respectively. It may be seen that the copper wall of the throat region in the hot gas side must endure the highest temperature and maximal heat flux, so this region should be taken into special account during the thermal design of thrust chamber.

Variations of average hydrogen gas temperature in a cooling channel and average convective heat transfer coefficient at a gas-solid coupled interface are shown in Figure 4. Variations of average wall temperature and heat flux at a gas-solid coupled interface are shown in Figure 5. It indicates that maximal heat flux and maximal convective heat transfer coefficient simultaneously occur at the throat region of rocket



Numerical simulation and optimization

Figure 3. Variations of wall temperature  $T_w$  and heat flux  $q_w$  at the hot-gas side

Figure 4.

Variations of average temperature of hydrogen gas  $T_{\rm hyd}$  in cooling channel and average convective heat transfer coefficient  $h_{\rm hyd}$  at gas-solid coupled interface



thrust chamber because the velocity of hydrogen gas V reaches the maximum value near the throat region (Figure 6), whose cross-sectional area is minimum. Figure 6 also shows the local distribution of temperature difference  $\delta T$  between the gas-solid coupled interface and hydrogen gas.

Figures 7 and 8 show the temperature contours and velocity distributions in different regions (inlet, outlet and throat) along the x coordinate. It can be seen from these figures the temperature distribution in the cross-section at the throat region is higher than those at the other two cross-sections. The highest temperature in the cross section occurred at point c and the maximal heat flux occurred at point d of the copper wall on the hot-gas side (Figure 2). This is because the heat conduction effect of the copper ribs at point d enhances the heat transfer of the cooling channels.

#### 3.3 Optimization simulation

The thrust chamber liner is made from copper, with axial slots milled into this copper body. Recent experimental study on the high-aspect-ratio cooling passages demonstrated that a large number of cooling passages increase the potential for



Figure 6. Variations of average velocity V and temperature difference  $\delta T$  between gas-solid coupled interface and hydrogen gas



longer life than fewer channels under given thrust chamber dimensions. More channels results in larger aspect ratios in the channel cross-section (Lebail and Popp, 1993). In order to improve heat transfer rate of rocket cooling channels, an optimization calculation was performed to find out the optimal number of cooling channels. Under the same boundary conditions and keeping the height of channel and width of the fins as constants, when the number of cooling channels was changed, the width of channels and the mass flow-rate of hydrogen gas in the single cooling channel were changed.

By changing the number of the cooling channels, the heat transfer characteristics of cooling channels were changed consequently. Variations of highest wall temperature  $T_{\rm w}$  and average heat flux  $q_{\rm av}$  of the wall in the hot-gas side versus the number of the

cooling channels are shown in Figure 9. The variations of pressure drop  $\Delta P$  and the temperature difference  $\Delta T$  between the inlet and exit of cooling channels coolant are shown in Figure 10.

Figures 9 and 10 show that there exists an optimal number of cooling channels (about 335), which has highest wall heat flux (about  $31 \text{ MW/m}^2$ ) at hot gas side wall and highest temperature difference (about 76 K) between inlet and outlet, and lowest wall temperature (about 550 K) at hot gas wall, while the pressure drop between inlet and outlet is not so high (about 1.3 MPa) and may be acceptable from the engineering point of view.

This phenomenon could be explained as follows. The average temperature of hydrogen gas in the cooling channels can be defined as the average value of the inlet and exit gas temperature:

$$\bar{T}_{\rm hyd} = \frac{(T_{\rm in} + T_{\rm exit})}{2} \tag{8}$$

The values calculated for different numbers of channels are displayed in Table IV.



Figure 9. Variations of highest temperature and wall highest heat flux at hot-gas side wall



Variations of temperature difference and pressure drop between inlet and outlet of cooling channel

918

EC

It can be seen from Table IV that the average temperature of hydrogen gas almost keeps constant. Figure 11 shows the heat transfer resistance sketch map of cooling simulation and channels. We may assume that  $1/[(h_{hvd} + h_r)A_0]$  and  $\delta/(\lambda A_0)$  (Figure 11) keep constant optimization when the average temperature of hot gas  $(T_{gas})$  and hydrogen gas  $(T_{hyd})$  keep constant. With the increase of the number of cooling channels, the velocity of hydrogen gas will increase, so both the convective heat transfer coefficient of hydrogen gas in cooling channels,  $h_{\rm hyd}$ , and the fin area  $A_2$ , will increase. On the other hand, both the base area  $A_1$  and rib efficiency  $\eta_{\rm hyd}$  will decrease. As a result, there exists an optimal number of channels which leads  $(A_1 + A_2 \eta_{hyd})h_{hyd}$  to achieve maximum value, and hence the temperature of the wall in the hot-gas side ( $T_w$  in Figure 11) comes to its minimum, and the corresponding heat flux at hot-gas side wall reaches the maximum (Figure 9). It should be noted that for thrust chamber for liquid rocket engine, having the highest wall heat flux at side wall with the smallest penalty of pressure drop is the most important for thermal design of rocket engine thrust chamber.

Ν	125	150	225	330	355	375	$\bar{T}$ Table IV.
$\bar{T}_{\rm hyd}({\rm K})$	82.0	82.48	82.59	83.3	82.8	82.6	numbers of channels



Figure 11. Heat transfer resistance sketch map of cooling channel

Numerical

# EC 4. Conclusions

23.8

920

A three-dimensional gas-solid coupling solution program was employed to calculate the flow and heat transfer in cooling channels of thrust chamber with high aspect ratio. The numerical method was validated by comparing the calculated results with previous experimental data. It indicates that both the highest temperature and the maximal heat flux through the wall on the hot-gas side occurs about the throat region at the symmetrical center of the cooling channel. Owing to the strong curvature of the cooling channel geometry, the secondary flow reached its strongest level around the throat region. The typical values of pressure drop and temperature difference between the inlet and exit of cooling channel were 2.7 MPa and 67.38 K (standard case), respectively.

Based on the calculation and analysis of heat transfer character of the cooling channels by changing number of cooling channels and keeping boundary conditions constant, it was found that an optimal number of channel exists, and it is approximately 335, which can make the effect of heat transfer of cooling channels best with acceptable pressure drop. As a whole, the present study gives some useful information to the thermal design of liquid rocket engine thrust chamber.

#### References

- Bartz, D.R. (1957), "A simple equation for rapid estimation of rocket nozzle convective heat transfer coefficients", *Jet Propulsion*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 49-51.
- Bucchi, A. and Bruno, C. (2005), "Congiunti cooling performance in lox/methane liquid-fuel rocket engines Bucchi, Andrea", *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 42 No. 3, pp. 476-86.
- Choi, J.M. and Anand, N.K. (1995), "Turbulent heat transfer in a serpentine channel with a series of right-angle turns", *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 38 No. 7, pp. 1225-36.
- Feng, W.L. and Zhang, Y.J. (1991), Theory of Liquid Rocket Engine, BeiHang University Press, BeiJing (in Chinese).
- Frohlich, A., Immich, H., Lebail, F., Popp, M. and Scheuerer, G. (1991), "Three-dimensional flow analysis in a rocket engine coolant channel of high depth/width ratio", AIAA Paper 91-2183.
- Immich, H., Alting, J., Kretschmer, J. and Preclik, D. (2003), "Technology development for thrust chambers of future launch vehicle liquid rocket engines", Acta Astronayica, Vol. 53, pp. 597-605.
- Immich, H., Frohlich, T. and Kretschmer, J. (1999), "Technology developments for expander cycle engine thrust chambers", AIAA Paper, 35th Joint Propulsion Conference, Los Angeles, CA.
- Immich, H., Kretschmer, J. and Preclik, D. (2000), "Technology developments for cryogenic rocket engines", AIAA Paper, 2000-3780. 35th Joint Propulsion Conference. Huntscille, AL.
- Kinney, G.R. and Dukler, A.E. (1952), "Internal liquid film cooling experiments with air stream temperatures to 2000F in 2- and 4-inch diameter horizontal tubes", NACA Report.
- Knuth, E.L. (1953), "The mechanics of film cooling", JPL Memo, September, pp. 20-85.
- Lai, Y.G., Przekwas, A.J. and Nguyen, N. (1994), "A concurrent multi-disciplinary approach for the analysis of liquid rocket engine combustors", AIAA Paper 94-3103.
- Lebail, F. and Popp, M. (1993), "Numerical analysis of high aspect ratio cooling passage flow and heat transfer", AIAA Paper 93-1829.
- Li, J.W. and Liu, Y. (2004), "Method of computing temperature field in regeneration-cooled thrust chamber", *Journal of Aerospace Power*, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 550-6.

- Lilly, D.K. (1966), "On the application of the eddy viscosity concept in the inertial subrange of turbulence", NCAR Manuscript 123, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO.
- Schmidt, G., Popp, M. and Frohich, Th. (1998), "Design studies for an 10 ton class high performance expander cycle engine", AIAA Paper 98-3673.
- Smagorinsky, J. (1963), "General circulation experiments with the primitive equations I", *The Basic Experiment. Month. Wea. Rev.*, Vol. 91, pp. 9-164.
- Takase, K. (1996), "Numerical prediction of augmented turbulent heat transfer in an annular fuel channel with repeated two-dimensional square ribs", *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, Vol. 165 Nos 1/2, pp. 225-37.
- Tao, W.Q. (2000), *Numerical Heat Transfer*, 2nd ed., Xi'an Jiaotong University Press, Xi'an (in Chinese).
- Wang, T.S. and Luong, V. (1992), "Numerical analysis of the hot-gas-side and coolant-side heat transfer for liquid rocket engine combustors", AIAA Paper 92-3151.
- Wang, T.S. and Luong, V. (1994), "Hot-gas-side and coolant-side heat transfer in liquid rocket engine combustors", *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 524-30.
- Wang, T.S. and Luong, V. (2004), "Numerical a study on the cooling mechanism in liquid rocket engine", paper presented at 40th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit; Fort Lauderdale, FL, July, pp. 11-4.
- William, M.G. (1991), "Liquid film cooling in rocket egines", CD Report, March.
- Wu, F. and Wang, Q.W. (2003), "Numerical simulation on heat transfer and fluid flow in cooling channel of liquid rocket engine thrust chamber", paper presented at the 16th Conference of Calculation Mechanics, Mechanical Institute of Japan, pp. 177-8.
- Wu, F., Wang, Q.W., Luo, L.Q. and Sun, J.G. (2005a), "Numerical investigation of turbulent models on heat transfer and fluid flow in cooling channel of H2/O2 liquid rocket engine thrust chamber", *Journal of Propulsion Technology*, Vol. 26 No. 5, pp. 389-93.
- Wu, F., Wang, Q.W., Luo, L.Q. and Sun, J.G. (2005b), "Numerical simulation on heat transfer and fluid flow in cooling channel of liquid propellant rocket engine thrust chamber", *Journal of Aerospace Power*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 197-202.
- Yan, W.M., Lin, T.F. and Tsay, Y.L. (1991), "Evaporative cooling of liquid film through interfacial heat and mass transfer in a vertical channel: I-experimental study", *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 34, pp. 1105-11.
- Yuu, S., Ueno, T. and Umekage, T. (2001), "Numerical simulation of the high Reynolds number slit nozzle gas-particle jet using subgrid-scale coupling large eddy simulation", *Chemical Engineering Science*, Vol. 56 No. 14, pp. 4293-307.
- Zhang, H.W., Tao, W.Q., He, Y.L. and Zhang, W. (2006), "Numerical study of liquid film cooling in a rocket combustion chamber", *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 49, pp. 349-58.

#### **Corresponding author**

Qiuwang Wang can be contacted at: wangqw@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

To purchase reprints of this article please e-mail: **reprints@emeraldinsight.com** Or visit our web site for further details: **www.emeraldinsight.com/reprints**  Numerical simulation and optimization