

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Computational Physics 189 (2003) 351-370

JOURNAL OF COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcp

# Numerical simulation of two-dimensional flows over a circular cylinder using the immersed boundary method

A.L.F. Lima E Silva<sup>a</sup>, A. Silveira-Neto<sup>a,\*</sup>, J.J.R. Damasceno<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Mechanical Engineering College, Federal University of Uberlândia, João Naves de Ávila Avenue, Campus Santa Mônica, Minas Gerais, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Chemical Engineering College, Federal University of Uberlândia, João Naves de Ávila Avenue, Campus Santa Mônica, Minas Gerais, Brazil

Received 9 May 2002; received in revised form 14 November 2002; accepted 23 March 2003

## Abstract

In this work, a virtual boundary method is applied to the numerical simulation of a uniform flow over a cylinder. The force source term, added to the two-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations, guarantees the imposition of the no-slip boundary condition over the body–fluid interface. These equations are discretized, using the finite differences method. The immersed boundary is represented with a finite number of Lagrangian points, distributed over the solid–fluid interface. A Cartesian grid is used to solve the fluid flow equations. The key idea is to propose a method to calculate the interfacial force without ad hoc constants that should usually be adjusted for the type of flow and the type of the numerical method, when this kind of model is used. In the present work, this force is calculated using the Navier–Stokes equations applied to the Lagrangian points and then distributed over the Eulerian grid. The main advantage of this approach is that it enables calculation of this force field, even if the interface is moving or deforming. It is unnecessary to locate the Eulerian grid points near this immersed boundary. The lift and drag coefficients and the Strouhal number, calculated for an immersed cylinder, are compared with previous experimental and numerical results, for different Reynolds numbers.

© 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Immersed-boundary method; Eulerian-Lagrangian formulation; Drag coefficient; Finite-difference method

# 1. Introduction

Immersed bodies present a very broad spectrum of practical problems due to their geometric complexity and movement inside the flow. This class of problems is very difficult to analyze using classical methods, because of these two characteristics. The most common problems involve vibration of the immersed

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +55-34-323-9-4148.

*E-mail addresses:* alfernandes@mecanica.ufu.br (A.L.F. Lima E Silva), aristeus@mecanica.ufu.br (A. Silveira-Neto), jjrdamasc-eno@ufu.br (J.J.R. Damasceno).

structure, which induces turbulence in the flow and turbulence induces vibration on the immersed structure. This is the well-known fluid–structure interaction problem. The movement of one or several particles, which are in a deposition process inside a reservoir, presents another example of this class of problems [21].

Recently, some new types of methods based on the immersed boundary idea of Peskin [15], have been proposed. The main idea is to use a regular Eulerian mesh for the fluid dynamics simulation, coupled with a Lagrangian representation of the immersed boundary. The immersed boundary exerts a singular force on the fluid. The Lagrangian mesh can move independent of the Eulerian mesh. Different models to calculate this force field have been proposed recently.

Lai and Peskin [10] have proposed a second-order accurate immersed boundary method that has been used to simulate flows over a circular cylinder. The interaction between the fluid and the immersed boundary is modeled using a well-chosen discretized approximation to the Dirac delta function. The singular Lagrangian force intensity is calculated using a Hook law, in which the boundary point X is fixed to a equilibrium point  $X^e$  with a very stiff spring, whose stiffness constant is  $\kappa \gg 1$ .

Goldstein et al. [6,7] proposed a model called the *Virtual Boundary Formulation*, which was used to simulate turbulent flow over a riblet-covered surface and to address other similar problems. Their method is similar to the immersed boundary method but is appropriate only to rigid immersed surfaces. The main idea of the *virtual boundary method* is to treat the embedded boundary in the fluid, applying a force field to the fluid, so that it takes the same velocity as the surface. This is a *virtual model* for the classic no-slip boundary condition. Since the force field is not known a priori, it must be calculated in a feedback way such that the velocity on the boundary is used to determine the desired force distribution. This model involves two imposed constants,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , which are chosen to be both negative and large enough in magnitude to bring the fluid velocity close to the interface velocity. The force intensity is calculated using the expression

$$\vec{f}(\vec{x}_k,t) = \alpha \int_0^t \left[ \vec{u}(\vec{x}_k,t) - \vec{U}(\vec{x}_k,t) \right] \mathrm{d}t + \beta \left[ \vec{u}(\vec{x}_k,t) - \vec{U}(\vec{x}_k,t) \right],\tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants that must be adjusted in order to obtain the expected physical behavior at the flow. Saiki and Biringen [18] used this model to simulate a two-dimensional flow around stationary and moving cylinders.

Fogelson and Peskin [4] solved the three-dimensional Stokes equations to simulate the sedimenting particle flows in the Stokes regime. In this work, the particles are slightly deformable. A regular Lagrangian grid is used to represent the overall domain. Each particle is represented by a group of Lagrangian points, placed over the fluid–particle interface. The momentum equations are solved at all points of the Eulerian grid. The particle points move with the local velocity fluid. This velocity is affected by density force term that is calculated over the Lagrangian points and distributed over the neighboring Eulerian points. The distributed force field has to model the non-linear and viscous effects between fluid and particle surfaces. Knowing this Eulerian force field, the entire domain is viewed as one entity without solid interfaces. The authors made simulations with one and two particles under the influence of gravity. They observed favorable behavior as compared with existing theories for multi particle sedimentation. They concluded that the proposed method is a powerful numerical tool to study flows with suspended particles.

Ye et al. [26] proposed a method called the *Cartesian Grid Method* for simulating two-dimensional unsteady, viscous, incompressible flows over complex geometries. In this method, a control volume near the immersed boundary is re-formed into a body-fitted trapezoidal shape by discarding the solid part of the cell and adding the neighboring cells. They used an interpolation scheme near the immersed boundary that gives second-order spatial accuracy. The concept of momentum forcing, that is, the force added on the Navier–Stokes equations, is not used.

Fadlum et al. [3] used discrete-time forcing, as suggested by Mohd-Yusof [12], and showed that it is more efficient than the feedback forcing method for three-dimensional problems. The velocity at the first grid point, external to the interface, is obtained by a linear interpolation of the velocity at the second grid point and the velocity of the body surface. The force is calculated at the first external grid point using the Navier–Stokes Equations. In this model, there are no adjustable constants. This method requires a special algorithm to identify the grid points over which the force must be calculated.

Kim et al. [9] proposed a method based on a finite-volume approach, using a staggered mesh. Flows over immersed complex geometries were simulated. The authors introduced the use of a mass source or sink and a momentum forcing to guarantee the no-slip boundary condition on the immersed boundary, and to satisfy the continuity for the cell containing the immersed boundary.

In the present work, a new model is proposed. It is based on the calculation of the force field over a sequence of Lagrangian points, which represent the interface, using the Navier–Stokes Equations. There are no ad hoc constants in this model and a special algorithm to capture the neighboring grid points of the immersed interface is not required. This model is being named here *Physical Virtual Model* (PVM), due to the fact that it is based only upon the laws of conservation.

We simulated an internal channel flow and the flows around a circular cylinder, in order to validate the methodology and the numerical procedure. Detailed informations about the flows over the cylinder, at different Reynolds numbers are presented. These flow quantities are the mean drag and mean lift coefficients, the drag and lift fluctuations, the wall pressure and the recirculation length behind the cylinder and the Strouhal number, for low Reynolds numbers. The results were compared with experimental and with other numerical studies.

## 2. Mathematical formulation

## 2.1. General aspects of the formulation

Viscous and incompressible flows in a Cartesian square domain  $\Omega$  containing an immersed boundary, as illustrated in Fig. 1, can be modeled by the Navier–Stokes equations:

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} + (\vec{V} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{V} \right] = -\vec{\nabla} P + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{V} + \vec{F},$$
(2)

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{V} = 0, \tag{3}$$



Fig. 1. Immersed boundary illustration; Eulerian mesh  $(\vec{x})$  and Lagrangian mesh  $(\vec{x}_k)$ .

where  $\vec{F}$  is given by

$$\vec{F}(\vec{x}) = \int_{\Omega} \vec{f}(\vec{x}_k) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_k) \, \mathrm{d}\vec{x}_k,\tag{4}$$

and  $\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_k)$  is a Dirac delta function,  $\vec{x}_k$  are the Lagrangian points placed over the immersed boundary (see Fig. 1),  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}_k)$  is the Lagrangian force density, and  $\vec{F}(\vec{x})$  is the Eulerian force that is not equal to zero only over the immersed boundary. Eq. (4) models the interaction between the immersed boundary and the fluid flow, by injecting the force field on the fluid.

In order to discretize the Dirac delta function that appears in Eq. (4), it must be replaced by the distribution function,  $D_{ij}$ , proposed by Peskin [15] and modified by Juric [8], represented by the following equations:

$$D_{ij}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k) = \frac{f[(x_k - x_i)/h]f[(y_k - y_j)/h]}{h^2},$$
(5)

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} f_1(r) & \text{if } ||r|| < 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} - f_1(2 - ||r||) & \text{if } 1 < ||r|| < 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } ||r|| > 2, \end{cases}$$
(6)

where

$$f_1(r) = \frac{3 - 2\|r\| + \sqrt{1 + 4\|r\| - 4\|r\|^2}}{8},\tag{7}$$

*r* being  $(x_k - x_i)/h$  or  $(y_k - y_j)/h$ , *h* is the Eulerian grid size and  $(x_i, y_j)$  are the Eulerian points. An important property of this function is that its integral is equal to one.

# 2.2. Immersed boundary force – Physical virtual model

In the present work, the PVM is proposed to calculate the Lagrangian force field, based only upon the momentum equation. All the Navier–Stokes terms are calculated over the Lagrangian points. Therefore the force  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}_k)$  should be expressed by

$$\vec{f}(\vec{x}_k) = \vec{f}_{a}(\vec{x}_k) + \vec{f}_{i}(\vec{x}_k) + \vec{f}_{v}(\vec{x}_k) + \vec{f}_{p}(\vec{x}_k).$$
(8)

The different terms that compose Eq. (8) are here referred to as acceleration force  $\vec{f}_a$ , inertial force  $\vec{f}_i$ , viscous force  $\vec{f}_v$  and pressure force  $\vec{f}_p$ . These force components are represented by:

$$\vec{f}_{a}(\vec{x}_{k}) = \rho \frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t}(\vec{x}_{k}), \tag{9}$$

$$\vec{f}_{i}(\vec{x}_{k}) = \rho(\vec{V} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{V}(\vec{x}_{k}), \tag{10}$$

$$\vec{f}_{\mathbf{v}}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k) = -\mu \nabla^2 \vec{V}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k),\tag{11}$$

$$\vec{f}_{\rm p}(\vec{x}_k) = \vec{\nabla P}(\vec{x}_k). \tag{12}$$

354



Fig. 2. Scheme for the pressure and velocity interpolation.

The different terms described by Eqs. (9)–(12) must be evaluated over the interface using the velocity field  $\vec{V}(\vec{x})$  and pressure field  $P(\vec{x})$ . These calculations must also take into account that, at the interface, the fluid velocity must be equal to the interface velocity, which guarantees the no-slip condition. The velocity and pressure spatial derivatives are calculated using the fluid velocity and pressure obtained by Eqs. (2) and (3). One of the possible ways to do this is to interpolate  $\vec{V}(\vec{x})$  and  $P(\vec{x})$  over appropriate points near the interface, as illustrated by Fig. 2. This interpolation method is described in the following section.

## 2.3. Interpolation and derivatives calculation procedure

The interpolation scheme for the horizontal velocity, over point number 3, for example, is shown in Fig. 3. A square dotted domain is defined as being the region where the variables will be analyzed. In the

	<i>u i-3, j+2</i>	<b>u</b> i-2, j+2	→ <i>u i-1, j+2</i>	→ <i>u i</i> , <i>j</i> +2	<b>u</b> <i>i</i> +1, <i>j</i> +2	
	<i>u i-3, j+1</i>	<i>u i-2, j+1</i>	$u_{i-1,j+1} \circ 4$	<b>u</b> <i>i</i> , <i>j</i> +1	<b>u</b> <i>i</i> +1, <i>j</i> +1	
			<i>u i-1, j</i> • 3	<b>u</b> <i>i, j</i>	<b>u</b> i+1, j	
			k	<i>u</i> <sub><i>i</i>,<i>j</i>-1</sub>	$u_{i+1,j-1}$	
					→ <i>u i</i> +1, <i>j</i> -2	
-						-

Fig. 3. Interpolation scheme for the horizontal velocity at point 3.

						.,
	υ <sub>i-2, j+2</sub>	υ <sub>i-1, j+2</sub>		$v_{i+1,j+2}$	<i>U</i> <sub><i>i</i>+2, <i>j</i>+2</sub>	
	υ <sub>i-2, j+1</sub>	υ <sub>i-1, j+1</sub>	$v_{i,j+l}$	<i>v</i> <sub><i>i</i>+1,<i>j</i>+1</sub> ↑	<i>v</i> <sub><i>i</i>+2,<i>j</i>+1 ↑</sub>	
			$v_{i,j}$	$\upsilon_{i+l,j}$	υ <sub>i+2,j</sub>	
				$v_{i+1,j-1}$	υ <sub>i+2, j-1</sub>	
		F		$v_{i+1,j-2}$	$v_{i+2,j-2}$	
-t.						,

Fig. 4. Interpolation scheme for the vertical velocity at point 3.

shaded area that denotes the immersed body, the velocity and pressure fields do not contribute to the interpolation process. The horizontal velocity components, shown in Fig. 3, are interpolated over point 3 using the same model given by Eqs. (5)–(7). Only those components, which are at a distance less than or equal to  $2\Delta x$ , from the point number 3, will be interpolated. This procedure is executed automatically by the distribution function model. Furthermore, the dotted box, shown in Figs. 4 and 5, is used to reduce CPU time calculation.



Fig. 5. (a) Interpolation scheme for the velocities and (b) for the pressure at point k.

In Fig. 4, the vertical velocity components are shown for the interpolation scheme over point 3. The same procedure is used to obtain the interpolated pressure value. The interpolations over the other points (1, 2 and 4), are also done the same way. For the points over the interface (k points), the procedure is different. The velocity components are interpolated using the internal and external grids (Fig. 5(a)). The pressure is taken as the value at a  $\Delta x$  distance from point k, in the normal direction, as shown in Fig. 5(b). Point p belongs to the nearest external Eulerian cell from point k.

The pressure and velocity derivatives, that appear in Eqs. (9)–(12), are calculated using a secondorder Lagrange polynomial approximation. Generalizing the vertical and horizontal velocity components and the pressure by  $\phi$ , the first and second derivatives in the x direction are approximated by:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x_k, y_k) = \frac{(x_k - x_2)}{(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_k)}\phi_1 + \frac{(x_k - x_1)}{(x_2 - x_1)(x_2 - x_k)}\phi_2 + \frac{(x_k - x_1) + (x_k - x_2)}{(x_k - x_1)(x_k - x_2)}\phi_k,\tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2}(x_k, y_k) = \frac{2\phi_1}{(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_k)} + \frac{2\phi_2}{(x_2 - x_1)(x_2 - x_k)} + \frac{2\phi_k}{(x_k - x_1)(x_k - x_2)}.$$
(14)

The derivatives in the *y* direction are given by:

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(x_k, y_k) = \frac{(y_k - y_4)}{(y_3 - y_4)(y_3 - y_k)}\phi_3 + \frac{(y_k - y_3)}{(y_4 - y_3)(y_4 - y_k)}\phi_4 + \frac{(y_k - y_3) + (y_k - y_4)}{(y_k - y_3)(y_k - y_4)}\phi_k,\tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2}(x_k, y_k) = \frac{2\phi_3}{(y_3 - y_4)(y_3 - y_k)} + \frac{2\phi_4}{(y_4 - y_3)(y_4 - y_k)} + \frac{2\phi_k}{(y_k - y_3)(y_k - y_4)},\tag{16}$$

where  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$ ,  $\phi_3$  and  $\phi_4$  are obtained by the interpolation described in Figs. 3–5. The interface velocity components  $(u_k, v_k)$ , are also used for the derivative calculation. These velocity components are equal to zero for the purposes of the present work, for which the interface is stationary. The pairs  $(x_k, y_k)$ ,  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2)$ ,  $(x_3, y_3)$  and  $(x_4, y_4)$  are the coordinates of the points k, 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2. We emphasize that the derivatives expressed by Eqs. (13)–(16) are calculated over the interface at points  $(x_k, y_k)$ . The distances between the points k and 1, k and 3, 1 and 2, and 3 and 4 are  $\Delta x$  (the mesh size). Their distribution is always at the outside part of the immersed boundary. Using the signal of the normal vector components, it is possible to conveniently locate these points, outside the interface. Therefore, the calculation of the force terms, Eqs. (10)–(12), is independent of the internal flow properties.

The acceleration force  $\rho(\partial \vec{V}/\partial t)$ , Eq. (9), is calculated taking into account that the fluid velocity over the interface must have the same value as the interface velocity. Therefore, this acceleration term is approximated by  $(\rho(\vec{V}_k - \vec{V}_{fk}))/\Delta t$ , where  $\vec{V}_k = (u_k, v_k)$  is the interface velocity and  $\vec{V}_{fk} = (u_{fk}, v_{fk})$  is the fluid velocity at the same position on the interface.

Once calculated, the Lagrangian force, given by Eq. (8), is distributed over the neighboring Eulerian grid, as illustrated in Fig. 6.

The Dirac delta function, that appears in Eq. (4), is replaced by the distribution function, in order to calculate the Eulerian force, in a discrete form. Therefore Eq. (4) is replaced by

$$\vec{F}_{ij} = \sum D_{ij} \vec{f}_k \Delta s^2, \tag{17}$$

where  $D_{ij}$  is given by Eqs. (5)–(7) and  $\Delta s$  is the distance between two Lagrangian points. The presented mathematical model is solved using the numerical method described in the following section.



Fig. 6. Illustration of the force distribution process.

## 3. Numerical method

Eqs. (2) and (3) were discretized using the second-order finite central difference method in space and a Euler first order in time. The velocity and pressure coupling was solved using a second-order pressure correction method, as presented by Armfied and Street [1]. This method is described as follows:

$$\frac{\bar{u}_{i}^{n+1} - u_{i}^{n}}{\Delta t} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p^{n}}{\partial x_{i}} - N(u_{i}^{n}) + L(u_{i}^{n}) + F_{i}^{n},$$
(18)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi^{n+1}}{\partial x_i \partial x_i} = \frac{\rho}{\Delta t} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i^{n+1}}{\partial x_i},\tag{19}$$

$$u_i^{n+1} = \bar{u}_i^{n+1} - \frac{\Delta t}{\rho} \frac{\partial \varphi^{n+1}}{\partial x_i},\tag{20}$$

$$p^{n+1} = p^n + \varphi^{n+1}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$L(u_i) = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},\tag{22}$$

$$N(u_i) = \frac{\partial(u_i u_j)}{\partial x_i},\tag{23}$$

where  $\bar{u}_i$  is the estimated velocity component,  $\varphi$  is the pressure correction and  $\Delta t$  and *n* are the computational time step and the sub-step indices, respectively. The numerical solution of these equations is derived as follows:

- 1. Calculate the force field  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}_k)$ , over the Lagrangian points  $(x_k, y_k)$ , using Eqs. (8)–(12) and the initial conditions.
- 2. Distribute the force  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}_k)$  to the Eulerian grid using Eq. (17).
- 3. Estimate the fluid velocity field under the influence of the force field  $\vec{F}$  using Eq. (18).

- 4. Solve the linear system for the pressure correction given by Eq. (19).
- 5. Compute the actual pressure field using Eq. (21).
- 6. Update the fluid velocity using Eq. (20).
- 7. Verify the divergence using Eq. (3).

This procedure completes the time step loop. Normally, one loop is sufficient to obtain the mass conservation, verified in step (7). The convergence criterion used for all the simulations was that  $\max{\{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{V}\} \leq 10^{-8}}$ , where the max value is calculated over the entire domain.

The resulting linear system for the pressure correction  $\varphi$  is solved using the interactive solver MSI (Modified Strongly Implicit Procedure) of Schneider and Zedan [19]. Note that the interface force field calculation and the momentum equation solution are performed in an explicit way.

## 4. Results

The immersed boundary method, using the Physical Virtual Model, has been applied to simulate an internal channel flow and the flow around a circular cylinder in order to validate the methodology and the numerical procedure. For the two-dimensional Poiseuille flow simulation, the channel walls where modeled using the PVM model. Simulations of the flow past a circular cylinder were done for different low Reynolds numbers and consequently, the drag and lift coefficients, the length of the recirculation bubble and the pressure coefficient on the cylinder surface were obtained. These results were compared with results present by other authors.

## 4.1. Poiseuille flow

Fig. 7 shows the steady state of a vertical velocity profile in a vertical channel of width h and length L. This simulation was performed using a  $100 \times 200$  grid in the x and y directions, respectively. A constant pressure gradient was imposed on the channel and the force field was calculated at the channel walls. This



Fig. 7. (a) Force field at the channels walls. (b) Detail of the force at the wall. (c) Vertical velocity profile at y = 2. The continuous line represents the analytical result and the crosses the numerical result.

result was compared with the result obtained by the analytical solution. We would like to stress that boundary conditions over the walls were modeled using the immersed boundary method, instead of the classic no-slip velocity condition.

The maximum velocity error obtained comparing the present result with analytical solution, was 1.0%.

### 4.2. Flow over a circular cylinder

A rectangular domain was used to simulate the flow over a stationary cylinder. The boundary conditions were imposed in such a way that the flow was from the bottom up toward the top of the domain. A circular cylinder was placed inside the domain so that its center had coordinates x = 7.5d and y = 16.5d. The domain had a length of 30d and a width of 15d. These dimensions were chosen in order to minimize the boundary effects on the flow development. A Newman boundary condition was used on the lateral boundaries. A constant velocity profile  $U_{\infty}$  was specified at the domain entrance.

A grid refinement study was done to verify the result independence and the accuracy of the method. The results are shown in Table 1 for five grid sizes. They became grid independent for a grid of  $150 \times 300$  points and all results presented in this paper were obtained for a grid of  $250 \times 500$  points.

Fig. 8 shows the  $250 \times 500$  uniform mesh used in the simulations of flow past a stationary circular cylinder of diameter d = 0.1. Simulations were performed at Re = 10, 20, 40, 50, 60, 80, 100, 150, and 300.

Table 1

A grid refinemen	nt study
------------------	----------

Re = 80		
$\Delta x = \Delta y$	Grid points	$C_{\mathrm{D}}$
0.0150	$100 \times 200$	1.360
0.0120	125  imes 250	1.409
0.0100	$150 \times 300$	1.394
0.0075	200  imes 400	1.398
0.0060	$250 \times 500$	1.396



Fig. 8. (a) Complete view of the domain with the circular cylinder placed inside a vertical channel and (b) zoom view of the Cartesian and Lagrangian grids.

The velocity was imposed in such a way that the desired Reynolds number was obtained. The fluid properties  $\rho$  and  $\mu$  were considered constants and were the same for all simulations.

The criterion for the determination of the number of Lagrangian points was such as  $\Delta s/\Delta x \le 0.9$ . This implies that, in the present method, we can use a reduced number of Lagrangian points, as compared with Saiki and Biringen [18], who used a refined Lagrangian mesh in their simulations.

The Reynolds number in this flow was defined as

$$Re = \frac{\rho U_{\infty} d}{\mu},\tag{24}$$

where d is the cylinder diameter. Finally, the dimensionless time was defined as

$$T = \frac{U_{\infty}t}{d}.$$
(25)

Once the velocity and pressure fields are calculated, the drag and lift coefficients and the Strouhal number can also be calculated, using the force field directly.

*Drag coefficient*. As demonstrated by Lai and Peskin [10], the drag force on an immersed body in a stream, arises from two sources: the shear stress and the pressure distribution along the body. The drag coefficient can be defined as

$$C_{\rm D} = \frac{F_{\rm D}}{(1/2)\rho U_{\infty}^2 d},$$
(26)

where  $F_{\rm D}$  is the drag force. Here, it can be calculated using the Eulerian force or the Lagrangian force as:

$$F_{\rm D} = -\int_{\Omega} F_x \,\mathrm{d}x = -\int_0^L f_x \,\mathrm{d}s,\tag{27}$$

where  $F_x$  and  $f_x$  are the x components of the Eulerian and Lagrangian force, respectively, L is the length of the interface and  $\Omega$  is a domain which contains the interface.

Lift coefficient. Similar to the drag coefficient, the lift coefficient can be defined as

$$C_{\rm L} = \frac{F_{\rm L}}{(1/2)\rho U_{\infty}^2 d},\tag{28}$$

where  $F_L$  is the lift force, which can be calculated using the y component of the Eulerian force or the Lagrangian force as

$$F_{\rm L} = -\int_{\Omega} F_y \,\mathrm{d}y = -\int_0^L f_y \,\mathrm{d}s,\tag{29}$$

where  $F_y$  and  $f_y$  are the y components of the Eulerian and Lagrangian forces, respectively.

Strouhal number. The Strouhal number is defined as the dimensionless frequency with which the vortices are shed behind the body

$$St = \frac{fd}{U_{\infty}},\tag{30}$$

where f is the vortex shedding frequency. This frequency can be obtained using the Fast Fourier Transform of the lift coefficient time distribution.

A small time step ( $\Delta t = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ ) was used at the first loop, in order to guarantee the code stability. It is increased as the flow develops. When the force field is enough to satisfy the no-slip condition on the cylinder

surface, the time step is of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ . This time step is of the order of that used by others authors (i.e., Lai and Peskin [10]). The results obtained with this time step, for Re = 80, compare very well with others authors results. Although, by security measure the authors have used a time step of  $\Delta t = 1.10^{-4}$  for all calculation presented in the present paper.

For Reynolds number equal to 10, 20 and 40 the wake formed behind the cylinder attains a steady symmetric state, which is in agreement with the well-established result, by the linear stability theory. The cylinder wake instabilities rises for  $Re \ge 47$ .

Fig. 9 shows the recirculation bubble behind the cylinder at Re = 10, 20 and 40, respectively, in the steady flow regime. The bubble increases with the Reynolds number.

The force components  $(F_x, F_y)$  distributed near the solid interface are shown in Fig. 10. We observe that it is different from zero also inside the interface. In fact, we have a velocity field inside this interface but it does



Fig. 9. Flow past a circular cylinder. Streamline visualization: (a) Re = 10, (b) Re = 20 and (c) Re = 40.



Fig. 10. Force field illustration for Re = 40: (a)  $F_x$ , (b)  $F_y$ .

not affect the Lagrangian force calculation because only the external fields are used during the interpolation process.

The Lagrangian force terms, i.e.,  $\vec{f}_a$  (the acceleration force),  $\vec{f}_i$  (the inertial force),  $\vec{f}_v$  (the viscous force) and  $\vec{f}_p$  (the pressure force) are given by Eqs. (9)–(12). Their temporal evolution is shown in Figs. 11–13. In Fig. 11(a) the points A and B represent the positions where this terms are analyzed.



Fig. 11. Specific points used to analyze the Lagrangian force components (a); temporal variation of the vertical acceleration force at point B and vertical component of the velocity, inside the bubble recirculation, at point C (b).



Fig. 12. Temporal variation of the Lagrangian force components at Point A: horizontal component and vertical component (b).



Fig. 13. Temporal variation of the Lagrangian force components at Point B: horizontal component and vertical component (b).

Fig. 11(b) shows the variation of the vertical component of the acceleration force  $(f_{ay})$ , at point *B*, and the temporal variation of the vertical component of the fluid velocity (v), at point *C*. The components (x and y) of all force terms extracted at the point *A*, on the left side of the cylinder, can be visualized in Fig. 12. The horizontal and the vertical force components are shown in Figs. 12(a) and (b), respectively, as a function of the non-dimensional time. Fig. 13 shows the same force components at point *B*.

We see that the vertical velocity component (Fig. 11(b)) presents an oscillating behavior, corresponding to the vortices shedding process behind the cylinder. All force components (Figs. 11–13) presents the same behavior as the vertical velocity component, showing that the force calculation takes into account the neighboring flow dynamic. The vortices shedding process determines the frequencies and the amplitude of the force oscillations.

The acceleration force  $\vec{f}_a = (f_{ax}, f_{ay})$  might tend to zero if the Lagrangian force had been calculated implicitly in such a way that at each time the iterative process could bring this term  $(\vec{f}_a)$  to zero, before to advance to the next time. As the calculation were performed in a explicit way, it cannot be zero because the force used at time  $t + \Delta t$  is calculated at the time t. It is very important to stress that at each time the acceleration force assumes a value different from zero due to the fact that the fluid velocity at the interface is recalculated at each time and, consequently, it assume a value different from zero.

All force components present the same oscillating behavior, with the same frequency, but with different amplitude levels. The acceleration force assumes a very important role to compose the total force. The pressure force is the second more important, the viscous force is third more import and the inertial force is negligible as compared with the others terms.

The no-slipping boundary condition must be guaranteed by this force field. The fluid velocity at the Lagrangian point over the solid/fluid interface is obtained by interpolating the nearest velocity field. We define here  $l_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N} (u_k^2 + v_k^2)/N}$  as a parameter that shows how good is the model in the sense that no slipping boundary condition must be assured. Fig. 14 shows the time evolution for the parameter  $l_2$ , which tends to zero as time pass. For this simulation  $l_2$  is of the order  $10^{-4}$ . Fig. 15 shows the pressure field for six Reynolds numbers and Fig. 16 shows the corresponding vorticity field. It can be visualized in both figures that for Reynolds 20 and 40 the flow is symmetric. For Reynolds 80 the instabilities take place and the flow is completely asymmetric.

The length of the bubble recirculation  $(L_w)$  is here defined as the distance between two stagnation points downstream of the cylinder, as illustrated by Fig. 17. It was determined using the vertical velocity



Fig. 14. Time evolution of the parameter  $l_2$ , for Re = 10.

364



Fig. 15. Pressure field. (a) Re = 20, (b) Re = 40, (c) Re = 80, (d) Re = 100, (e) Re = 150 and (f) Re = 300.



Fig. 16. Vorticity field. (a) Re = 20, (b) Re = 40, (c) Re = 80, (d) Re = 100, (e) Re = 150 and (f) Re = 300.

distribution, plotted over the central streamline downstream of the cylinder. Fig. 18 shows these distributions as a function of y, for different values of Reynolds number. The second point, over this streamline, where the velocity is zero gives the  $L_w$  measure. Fig. 19 shows the  $L_w$  distribution as a function of the Reynolds number. Comparisons of the present results with those of other authors [13,14] demonstrate good agreement.

The drag coefficient as a function of the dimensionless time for different values of the Reynolds number is shown in Fig. 20. These results were obtained using Eq. (24).

Fig. 21 shows the lift coefficient as a function of time for different Reynolds numbers. These results were obtained using Eq. (28). It should be noted that for Re = 45 the flow is still stable. As the Reynolds number increases the flow becomes unstable. The critical Reynolds number is approximately Re = 47, as predicted by the linear theory of stability.

The  $\theta$  orientation angle, illustrated by Fig. 22, varies from 0 to 180°, between the two stagnation points. Fig. 23 shows the pressure coefficient on the cylinder surface, defined as  $C_p = p_k/0.5\rho U_{\infty}^2$  [22], as a function of the angle  $\theta$ , as illustrated by Fig. 22. The pressure is taken on the Lagrangian points  $\vec{x}_k$ .  $U_{\infty}$  is the free stream velocity.

The comparison of the drag coefficient, obtained in the present work, with other numerical and experimental results, is presented in Table 2. Very good agreement has been obtained [5,11,23,26].



Fig. 17. Length of the bubble recirculation.



Fig. 18. Vertical velocity component (v) as a function of y.  $L_w$  determination.



Fig. 19. Bubble recirculation length  $(L_w/d)$  as a function of the Reynolds number.



Fig. 20. Drag coefficients as a function of the dimensionless time: (a) Re = 10, 20, 40 and 50; (b) Re = 80, 100, 150 and 300.



Fig. 21. Variation of lift coefficients with time: (a) Re = 45 and 47; (b) Re = 50 and 80.



Fig. 22. Schematic illustration of the angle  $\theta$ .



Fig. 23. Pressure coefficient distribution, between the stagnation points ( $\theta = 0$ ) and ( $\theta = 180$ ): (a) Re = 10, 20, 40 (present study);  $\triangleright$ : Re = 10, 20, 40 [14]; (b) Re = 50, 80, 100, 150 (present study);  $\forall$ : Re = 80, 100 [14].

Table 2 Comparison of mean drag coefficient ( $C_{\rm D}$ ) with those of other authors

Re	Present work	Park et al. [14]	Sucker and Brauer [17]	Dennis and Chang [2]	Ye et al. [26]	Tritton [20]
10	2.81	2.78	2.67			
20	2.04	2.01	2.08	2.05	2.03	2.22
40	1.54	1.51	1.73	1.52	1.52	1.48
47	1.46	_	_	-	_	_
50	1.46	_	1.65	-	_	_
80	1.40	1.35	1.51	-	1.37	1.29
100	1.39	1.33	1.45	-	_	_
150	1.37	_	1.36	_	_	_
300	1.27	1.37	1.22	_	1.38	_



Fig. 24. Strouhal number vs. Reynolds number.

Fig. 24 shows the Strouhal number, defined by Eq. (30), as compared with the Strouhal number obtained by other authors [16,25,26]. It should be noted that for low Reynolds numbers the results are in good agreement [9,14,24]. As the Reynolds number increases the error factor of the numerical simulation increases. The present work presents consistent results even for Re = 300.

#### 5. Conclusions

The *Physical Virtual Model* (PVM), proposed in the present work, permits the simulation of unsteady, viscous incompressible flows over an immersed circular cylinder using a Cartesian grid. Statistical parameters, including drag and lift coefficients, the Strouhal number, wall pressure and the length of the bubble recirculation, were reported for several values of the Reynolds number. The results show consistency agreement with previous numerical and experimental results. The proposed model seems to be a promising tool and can be also applied to other geometries, to mobile geometries and to higher Reynolds numbers. The CPU time used to compute 10 s of simulation, for Re = 80, was approximately 36 h in a Pentium IV, 2 GHz. This time was sufficient to obtain the statistic parameters and it is compatible with other methods such as Finite-Volume and the Lattice–Boltzman Methods.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Fundação CAPES for the financial support. The computations were performed on a Pentium IV 2.0 GHz, at the Mechanical Engineering College of the Federal University of Uberlândia.

#### References

- S. Armfield, R. Street, The fractional-step method for the Navier–Stokes equations on staggered grids: the accuracy of three variations, J. Comp. Phys. 153 (1999) 660.
- [2] S.C.R. Dennis, G. Chang, Numerical solutions for steady flow past a circular cylinder at Reynolds numbers up to 100, J. Fluid Mech. 42 (1970) 471.
- [3] E.A. Fadlun, R. Verzicco, P. Orlandi, J. Mohd-Yousof, Combined immersed-boundary finite-difference methods for threedimensional complex flows simulations, J. Comp. Phys. 161 (2000) 35.

- [4] A.L. Fogelson, C.S. Peskin, A fast numerical method for solving three-dimensional Stokes equation in the presence of suspended particles, J. Comp. Phys. 79 (1988) 50.
- [5] B. Fornberg, A numerical study of steady viscous flow past a circular cylinder, J. Fluid Mech. 98 (1980) 819.
- [6] D. Goldstein, R. Hadler, L. Sirovich, Modeling a no-slip flow boundary with an external force field, J. Comp. Phys. 105 (1993) 354.
- [7] D. Goldstein, R. Hadler, L. Sirovich, Direct numerical simulation of turbulent flow over a modelled riblet covered surface, J. Comp. Phys. 302 (1995) 333.
- [8] D. Juric, Computation of phase change, Ph.D. Thesis, Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan, USA, 1996.
- [9] J. Kim, D. Kim, H. Choi, An immersed-boundary finite-volume method for simulations of flow in complex geometries, J. Comp. Phys. 171 (2001) 132.
- [10] M.C. Lai, C.S. Peskin, An immersed boundary method with formal second-order accuracy and reduced numerical viscosity, J. Comp. Phys. 160 (2000) 705.
- [11] R. Mittal, S. Balachandar, Inclusion of three-dimensional effects in simulations of two-dimensional bluff-body wake flows, ASME Fluids Engineering Division Summer Meeting, 1997.
- [12] J. Mohd-Yusof, Combined immersed boundaries/B-splines methods for simulations of flows in complex geometries, CTR Annual Reserch Briefs, NASA Ames/Stanford University, 1997.
- [13] M. Nishioka, H. Sato, Mechanism of determination of the shedding frequency of vortices behind a cylinder at low Reynolds numbers, J. Fluid Mech. 89 (1978) 49.
- [14] J. Park, K. Kwon, H. Choi, Numerical solutions of flow past a circular cylinder at Reynolds number up to 160, KSME Int. J. 12 (1998) 1200.
- [15] C.S. Peskin, Numerical analysis of blood flow in the heart, J. Comp. Phys. 25 (1977) 220.
- [16] A. Roshko, On the wake and drag of bluff bodies, J. Aeronaut. Sci. 22 (1955) 124.
- [17] D. Sucker, H. Brauer, Fluiddynamik bei der angestromten Zilindern, Wärme Stoffubertragung 8 (1975) 149.
- [18] E.M. Saiki, S. Biringen, Numerical simulation of a cylinder in uniform flow: application of a virtual boundary method, J. Comp. Phys. 123 (1996) 450.
- [19] G.E. Schneider, M. Zedan, A modified strongly implicit procedure for the numerical solution of field problems, Numer. Heat Transfer 4 (1981) 1.
- [20] D.J. Triton, Experiments on the flow past a circular cylinder at low Reynolds number, J. Fluid Mech. 6 (1959) 547.
- [21] Q. Wang, K.D. Squires, Int. J. Multiphase Flow 22 (1996).
- [22] F.M. White, Viscous Fluid Flow, second ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- [23] C. Wieselsberger, New data on the laws of fluid resistance, NACA TN 84 (1992).
- [24] C.H. Williamson, Oblique and parallel modes of vortex shedding in the wake of a circular cylinder at low Reynolds number, J. Fluid Mech. 206 (1989) 579.
- [25] C.H. Williamson, Vortex dynamics in the cylinder wake, Ann. Ver. Fluid Mech. 28 (1996) 477.
- [26] T. Ye, R. Mittal, H.S. Udaykumar, W. Shyy, An accurate Cartesian grid method for viscous incompressible flows with complex boundaries, J. Comp. Phys. 156 (1999) 209.